



2008-2009

Response to Intervention

Guidance

Response to Intervention
Division of Curriculum
Texas Education Agency
1701 N. Congress
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 463-9581

Copyright © Texas Education Agency, 2008. The materials found here on this website are copyrighted © and trademarked ™ as the property of the Texas Education Agency and may not be reproduced without the express written permission of the Texas Education Agency, except under the following conditions:

- 1) Texas public school districts, charter schools, and Education Service Centers may reproduce and use copies of the Materials and Related Materials for the districts' and schools' educational use without obtaining permission from the Texas Education Agency;
- 2) Residents of the state of Texas may reproduce and use copies of the Materials and Related Materials for individual personal use only without obtaining written permission of the Texas Education Agency;
- 3) Any portion reproduced must be reproduced in its entirety and remain unedited, unaltered and unchanged in any way;
- 4) No monetary charge can be made for the use of reproduced materials or any document containing them; however, a reasonable charge to cover only the cost of reproduction and distribution may be charged.

Private entities or persons located in Texas that are not Texas public school districts or Texas charter schools or any entity, whether public or private, educational or non-educational, located outside the state of Texas MUST obtain written approval from the Texas Education Agency and will be required to enter into a license agreement that may involve the payment of a licensing fee or a royalty fee.



TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 North Congress Ave. ★ Austin, Texas 78701-1494 ★ 512/463-9734 ★ FAX: 512/463-9838 ★ <http://www.tea.state.tx.us>

Robert Scott
Commissioner

October 2008

Dear Educator,

As we strive to provide a high quality education for all Texas students, we must continually seek to improve our schools by providing effective, research-based instruction that will meet our students' academic and social needs.

Response to Intervention, or RtI, a multi-tiered approach to instruction, is evolving as the framework of the general education program for all students including those who experience difficulties either academically or behaviorally. RtI helps to ensure that students have the opportunity to experience a full range of educational opportunities through the general education program.

Federal mandates require us to implement proactive models of instruction that allow all students to receive effective instructional as well as behavioral interventions in the general education setting.

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) is committed to assisting you as you consider the implementation of RtI on your campuses. We have established the RtI Coordinating Council (RtICC) to help us understand the needs of students, parents, teachers, and administrators regarding effective RtI implementation. TEA is deeply grateful to the membership of the RtICC for the following implementation guide. I trust it will prove a valuable resource to you.

Thank you for your commitment to improving student achievement in Texas.

Sincerely,

Robert Scott
Commissioner of Education

Acknowledgements

This guidance document has been compiled with extensive contributions from the Response to Intervention Coordination Council (RtICC) whose members represent the stakeholders for whom we hope this document will serve as a resource.

The member organizations of the RtICC believe that RtI is an approach that should be embraced by Texas schools and teachers as they strive to ensure that all students achieve success in learning.

The following RtICC members have dedicated many hours of collaborative work to RtI, and for their contributions, the Texas Education Agency (TEA) is extremely thankful.

Paul Henley
Teaching and Learning Specialist
Texas State Teachers Association/National Education Association (TSTA/NEA)

Francine Holland
Deputy Executive Director, Instructional Services, ESC Region XI
Education Service Centers Core Group

Susan Holley
Associate Executive Director, Instructional Support and Leadership Development
Texas Association of School Administrators (TASA)

Patti Lyle Johnson
Director of Middle Level Services
Texas Association of Secondary School Principals (TASSP)

Trae Kendrick
Chief Learning Officer
Texas Elementary Principals and Supervisors Association (TEPSA)

Patty Quinzi
Legislative Counsel
Texas-American Federation of Teachers (TEXAS-AFT)

Ada Muoneke
Program Associate
Texas Comprehensive Center (TXCC) at SEDL

The mission of the RtICC is to communicate clear, consistent expectations for RtI implementation, model support for RtI from the various perspectives of the membership organizations, provide feedback to TEA regarding the RtI challenges faced by school districts, and identify and share RtI resources and best practices.

Texas Education Agency

Robert Scott
Commissioner of Education

Lizzette Reynolds
Deputy Commissioner
Statewide Policy and Programs

Sharon Jackson
Associate Commissioner
Standards and Programs

Anita Givens
Deputy Associate Commissioner
Standards and Alignment

Shannon Baker
Managing Director
Division of Curriculum

Kathy Clayton
Managing Director
Division of IDEA Coordination

Cory Green
Managing Director
Division of NCLB Coordination

Kelly Callaway
Director
Advanced Academics/Gifted Education

Kathy Stewart
Director
Reading First

Chris Caesar
Program Manager
College and Career Readiness Initiatives

Petra Brittner
Director
Response to Intervention

Response to Intervention (RtI)

RtI is the practice of meeting the academic and behavioral needs of all students through a variety of services containing the following key elements:

- High-quality instruction and **scientific research-based** tiered interventions aligned with individual student need
- Frequent monitoring of student progress to make results-based academic and/or behavioral decisions
- Application of student response data to important educational decisions (such as those regarding placement, intervention, curriculum, and instructional goals and methodologies)

The instructional approaches used within the general education setting should result in academic and/or behavioral progress for the majority of the students. Struggling students are identified using data-based student **progress monitoring** and provided intensive instruction. The use of scientifically validated curricula and teaching methods expected in an RtI model leads to data-based school improvement.

Benefits of RtI

RtI holds the promise of ensuring that all children have access to high quality instruction and that struggling learners, including those with learning disabilities (LD), are identified, supported, and served early and effectively. Driven and documented by reliable data, the implementation of RtI in Texas schools can result in

- more effective instruction;
- increased student achievement;
- **more appropriate LD identification**;
- increased professional collaboration; and
- overall school improvement.

Multi-tiered model

To ensure that appropriate instruction directly addresses students' academic and behavioral difficulties in the general education setting, a multi-tiered service delivery model is used. Included are layers of increasingly intense intervention responding to student-specific needs.

Tier 1: Teachers use high-quality core class instruction aligned with the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) in which about 80% or more of the students are successful. This tier is the crucial foundation of the RtI instructional model.

Tier 2: Students are identified for individual or small group intervention in addition to core class instruction. This level includes scientific research-based programs, strategies, and procedures designed and employed to supplement, enhance, and support Tier 1 activities. District-established **standard protocol** matches appropriate

intervention strategies to specific student needs. Tier 2 addresses the needs of approximately 10–15% of the students.

Tier 3: Students who have not responded adequately to Tiers 1 and 2 receive specific, custom-designed individual or small group instruction (designed using a **problem-solving** model) beyond the instruction in Tier 1. This level of intervention is aimed at those students who have identified difficulties academically or behaviorally. Tier 3 addresses the needs of approximately 5–10% of the students.

	TIER 1: CORE CLASS CURRICULUM	TIER 2: SMALL GROUP INTERVENTION	TIER 3: INTENSIVE INTERVENTION
Focus	All students	Identified students with marked difficulties who have not responded to Tier 1 efforts	Identified students with marked difficulties who have not responded to Tier 1 and Tier 2 efforts
Program	Scientific research-based curriculum and instruction	Specialized scientific research-based intervention	Individualized and responsive intervention
Grouping	As needed	Homogeneous small group instruction (1:5–10)	Homogeneous small group instruction (1:3)
Time	*90 minutes per day or more	*20 – 30 minutes per day in small group in addition to 90 minutes of core instruction	*50 minutes per day in individual or small group instruction in addition to 90 minutes of core instruction
Assessment	Universal Screening at beginning, middle, and end of the academic year (or more often, if appropriate)	Weekly progress monitoring on target skill(s) to ensure adequate progress and learning	Weekly progress monitoring on target skill(s) to ensure adequate progress and learning
Interventionist	General education teacher	Determined by the school (may be classroom teacher, specialized teacher, external interventionist, paraprofessional, etc.)	Determined by the school (may be classroom teacher, specialized teacher, external interventionist, paraprofessional, etc.)
Setting	General education classroom	Appropriate setting in the classroom or outside the classroom designated by the school	Appropriate setting outside the classroom designated by the school

*Time amounts are examples for use in comparing relative times and not intended to be recommendations; instructional time will vary depending on circumstances unique to each school.

Fidelity of Implementation

Current research indicates that the most common cause of failed intervention is a lack of **fidelity of implementation**. Scientific research may indicate that an intervention model is successful, but that success can only be dependably duplicated if teachers are provided sufficient on-going program-specific training, agree to implement all aspects of the model as designed and as tested, and uphold that agreement. Fidelity to the researched design should be documented; gaps in fidelity should be identified and corrected.

Assessment leading to data-based decisions

To determine the effectiveness of an intervention, student progress is monitored through formative assessments that are sensitive to small changes in student behavior and performance. The following three types of assessments are typical of RtI:

1. **Universal screening** of all students identifies those not making academic or behavioral progress at expected rates.
2. **Diagnostics** determine what students can and cannot do in academic and behavioral domains.
3. **Progress monitoring** determines whether academic or behavioral interventions are producing the desired effects.

Progress monitoring helps teachers choose effective, targeted instructional techniques and establish goals which enable all students to advance appropriately toward attainment of state achievement standards. RtI decision-making processes are dependent upon reliable student performance data and data-collection systems.

Benefits of Progress Monitoring

- Accelerated learning due to appropriate instruction
- Informed instructional decisions
- Effective communication with families and other professionals about students' progress
- High expectations for students by teachers
- Appropriate special education referrals
- Documentation of student progress for accountability purposes

Who is responsible for Rtl?

Since Rtl is a whole-school instructional framework intended to improve instruction and learning for all students, all faculty and staff members share responsibility for Rtl.

The Principal is the instructional leader of the school and so must be the leader in developing and implementing an Rtl model.

Counselors and diagnosticians play important roles in designing the Rtl model to be used that may include making scheduling decisions, identifying student needs and monitoring progress, and helping to make decisions on appropriate interventions.

Teachers, of course, are the most important component of an Rtl team and need to understand all aspects of Rtl. Since teachers provide the bulk of the instruction and have the most opportunity to observe student progress, their support of Rtl is crucial to success. Teachers should be included in every stage of developing an Rtl model. The activities that comprise Rtl typically occur in the general education setting as schools use a variety of strategies to assist struggling students. General and special education staff coordinate and collaborate to develop a process for Rtl implementation, and such collaboration may lead to a shift in roles played by teachers from both areas. General education teachers may need training in many practices currently used primarily by special education teachers. The expertise of special education teachers can strengthen general education instruction as they provide that training, help to customize Tier 3 services, provide Tier 2 and 3 services, and, in general, team more closely with general education faculty.

Paraprofessionals may implement small-group interventions, assess progress, and maintain crucial databases showing that progress.

Rtl's role in determining learning disability (LD) eligibility

As established by the reauthorization of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) of 2004, LEAs may choose to use Rtl as one of a variety of ways to determine appropriate LD eligibility. This use of Rtl addresses concerns with models of LD identification that primarily rely on the use of IQ tests and performance discrepancy. Additional information regarding the use of Rtl in determining LD eligibility is available in a question and answer format at <http://www.tea.state.tx.us/special.ed/guidance/rules/index.html>.

Rtl in Secondary Programs

Little research is currently available on the use of Rtl in secondary schools. Clearly, all students can benefit from quality instructional strategies used across the curriculum (Tier 1), and students who arrive in high school performing below grade level can benefit from research-based instructional interventions and progress monitoring (Tiers 2 and 3). Currently, schools often address the needs of struggling students through tutoring programs offered during and/or after school or on Saturdays. Through an Rtl model, such tutoring would be provided by teachers trained in scientifically researched instructional models, interventions would be carefully chosen to directly target deficient

skills, and students' individual progress would be carefully monitored to determine the effectiveness of the strategies implemented and to make appropriate adjustments. Using an Rtl model, faculty and staff shift from a focus on the student's difficulties to a focus on planned and actual growth in response to carefully chosen and authentically implemented interventions.

Secondary schools implementing Rtl will have unique considerations. Districts may want to scale up to a secondary Rtl model, beginning with early grades only and gradually adding grade levels over multiple academic years. Another scale-up option that could be effective in both elementary and secondary schools is beginning with a focus only on improving Tier 1 instruction and adding Tiers 2 and 3 in the next two academic years. Regardless of the approach, issues that must be carefully considered include the following:

- What universal screening and progress monitoring options are available for secondary students and appropriate for the campus population?
- At Tier 1, what are the universal high-quality instructional practices that will be used across all subject areas?
- What age-appropriate interventions are available? Can a standard protocol identification of suitable interventions be established for the most common areas of need?
- In what ways might the structure of the school day impede intervention implementation? In what ways might the traditional school day structure be modified to accommodate an Rtl model?
- How can program-specific professional development be provided to all teachers? How can opportunities for professional collaboration be expanded?
- In what ways can parent involvement and communication be increased to support the Rtl model?

Using an Rtl model to address behavioral concerns

Behavioral issues can negatively impact learning as learning difficulties can negatively impact behavior. As students' academic success improves in school, their social and behavioral success tends to improve as well. Nevertheless, an Rtl model specifically designed to address behavioral difficulties can

- improve the pace of that improvement;
- support academic growth; and
- help improve the climate of the school.

Both academic and behavioral interventions contain the same components:

- The needs of most students are met through high-quality, research-based universal instructional and behavioral practices.
- Students needing additional intervention are identified and served through Tier 2 interventions. Tier 2 interventions are chosen through a campus-designed standard protocol or problem-based model. Student progress is carefully monitored, and interventions are modified as necessary.
- Custom-designed Tier 3 interventions are implemented with the small percentage of students who are not successful with Tier 2 interventions.

- Fidelity of implementation is crucial to success at all three tiers.

As with academic models, the focus of the entire school using Rtl to address behavioral concerns can shift from identifying negative behavior to teaching and promoting positive behavior.

State and federal financial support for Rtl

Although no state or federal funds are specifically appropriated for Rtl implementation, several funding sources may appropriately be accessed by districts to support this initiative, including but not limited to the following:

- **Accelerated Reading Instruction/Accelerated Math Instruction (ARI/AMI)** - State funding is granted directly to districts for the purpose of identifying and serving students in Grades K-8 who are at risk of not meeting grade-level expectations in reading and math.
- **Compensatory Education Funds** - In addition to serving students at risk of dropping out of school, as defined in Section 29.081(d) of the Texas Education Code, a school district may serve students who meet local eligibility criteria adopted by the board of trustees.
- **High School Allotment** - Rtl initiatives directed at improving academic success, disciplinary/behavioral issues, and drop out prevention may be appropriately funded for Grades 6 – 12 using the high school allotment.
- **IDEA-B Early Intervening Services (EIS)** - LEAs may use up to 15% of the entitlement received under IDEA-B to support Rtl implementation. Local education agencies (LEAs) are encouraged to consider Rtl when using EIS funds and when addressing student emotional/behavioral health and/or disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity in special education.
- **Reading First** - Reading First funds support struggling readers in Grades K-3 through professional development and technical assistance in the areas of phonological awareness, phonics, vocabulary, fluency and comprehension. Funds also support professional development for K-12 Special Education teachers.
- **Title I, Part A Funds** - Title I, Part A funds must be expended for programs/activities/strategies that are scientifically-based on research and meet needs (identified in the campus's comprehensive needs assessment process) which are listed in the campus improvement plan.

State initiatives to support Rtl

The Response to Intervention Coordinating Council (RtlCC) was formed in December 2007 to provide support and guidance to TEA and districts as Rtl models are designed and implemented. In addition to representatives from several TEA divisions, all of whom work together to model braiding various services for successful Rtl implementation,

An interactive Question and Answer (Q&A) version of this document is currently available at the Texas Education Agency (TEA) website. In that version of the document, the terms printed in [red](#) are hyperlinks that will take the reader to more precise information and links to related web-based resources.

You may access the interactive version at
<http://www.tea.state.tx.us/curriculum/Rtl/index.html>.

Rtl CC membership includes representatives from the following associations:

- American Federation of Teachers, Texas Chapter (TEXAS-AFT)
- Education Service Centers (ESCs)
- Texas Association of School Administrators (TASA)
- Texas Association of Secondary School Principals (TASSP)
- Texas Comprehensive Center at SEDL (TXCC)
- Texas Elementary Principals and Supervisors Association (TEPSA)
- Texas State Teachers Association (TSTA)

As determined at the conception of the RtlCC, the council's 2007-09 goals are as follows:

1. Create state guidance tools available to districts on the TEA website.

Guidance Tool	Completion Date
The Texas definition of Rtl	September 2008
The elements of an effective Rtl model	September 2008
Information about appropriate funding sources for Rtl implementation	Work in progress
A glossary of Rtl terms	September 2008
Frequently asked questions and answers	September 2008
Links to useful resources	September 2008
Information about training opportunities	September 2008
Connections to ESC support	September 2008
Images of successful Rtl implementation	Work in progress
Sample forms, procedures, etc. for modification and use by LEAs	Work in progress

2. Provide guidance and direction for the provision of effective Rtl professional development through the state system of ESCs.